

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,161	09/09/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14167US02	5714	
23446 MCANDREW	7590 01/21/200 S HELD & MALLOY.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			RUSSELL,	RUSSELL, WANDA Z	
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	.60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2416		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/658,161	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WANDA Z. RUSSELL	2416		

	WANDA Z. RUSSELL	2416					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is spaceful above, the meaning metalutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be stated above. The meaning date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ARMADONEC) (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Value of the provided period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply with the period will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to reply within the set or a dended period for reply will be set to rep							
Status							
1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 St 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	_						

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6, 11-16, 21-26, 31-36, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Periasamy et al. (U.S. Patent 6,023,733), in view of Beshai et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0131363 A1).

For claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 41, Periasamy et al. teach a method, a machinereadable storage (medium) (see 202-206 in Fig. 2A), a system (see Fig. 1) for providing enhanced connectivity (efficient path determination, see title) in a multi-protocol (Data link switching may be implemented on a multi-protocol routers, see col. 4, lines 4-5) network, the method comprising:

aggregating messages of each communication channel (across the communication channel, see col. 1, line 65, and Fig. 2B shows multi ports-channels; data flow path164-192-190 in Fig. 1, and passing that data ... where the data are sequentially formatted as a frame for delivery onto the channel 180 as bits, see col. 2, lines 21-24. The transmission side is described in this paragraph, and receiving side is symmetrical but in a reverse direction. Note that it is bi-directional communication. The LLC 180 at the source 110 corresponds to the LLC 190 at the destination 150) from a physical layer (see physical 164 in Fig. 1) associated with each of a plurality of protocols

10/658,161 Art Unit: 2416

(multi-protocol, see col. 4, lines 4-5) in a single multi-protocol layer of the multi-protocol network (see LLC 180 or 190 in Fig. 1. The LLC is a single multi-protocol layer);

identifying an optimal communication path (it generally must undertake a "routediscovery procedure" to identify the sole or optimal path the message is to travel, see col. 3, lines 28-30) from among said communication channel based on said single multiprotocol layer (specified in the path over the LLC connection to the destination LAN, see col. 3, lines 59-60); and

establishing a communication session using said identified optimal communication path (see Fig. 1).

However, Periasamy et al. fail to specifically teach a multi-band network for this application.

Beshai et al. teach a multi-band network (0051], lines 9-12) for this application.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al. to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications.

For claims 2, 12, 22, 32, and 42, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. In addition, Periasamy et al. teaches comprising determining based on said aggregated messages, whether at least one of said communication channels (it generally must undertake a "route-discovery procedure" to identify the sole or optimal path the message is to travel, see col. 3, lines 28-30; and across the communication channel, see col. 1, line 65, and Fig. 2B shows more portschannels).

However, Periasamy et al. fail to specifically teach a multi-band network, and a combination of said communication channels and said communication bands for providing said communication session for this application.

Beshai et al. teach a multi-band network ([0100], line 3) and a combination of said communication channels and said communication bands for providing said communication session for this application (from Figs. 1-3 and 5-6, it cab seen that this is a multi-channel (ports), multi-band network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al. to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications.

For claims 3, 13, 23, and 33, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. In addition, Periasamy et al. teach comprising selecting at least one of said communication channels (it generally must undertake a "route-discovery procedure" to identify the sole or optimal path the message is to travel, see col. 3, lines 28-30; and across the communication channel, see col. 1, line 65, and Fig. 2B shows more ports-channels).

However, Periasamy et al. fail to specifically teach a multi-band network, and a combination of said communication channels and said communication bands for providing said communication session for this application.

Beshai et al. teach a multi-band network ([0100], line 3) and a combination of said communication channels and said communication bands for providing said

Application/Control Number: 10/658,161

Art Unit: 2416

communication session for this application (from Figs. 1-3 and 5-6, it cab seen that this is a multi-channel (ports), multi-band network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al. to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications.

For claims 4, 14, 24, and 34, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. In addition, Periasamy et al. teach comprising locating said single multi-protocol as a sublayer within a data link layer (see Fig. 1. LLC 180 or 190 is a sub layer of Data Link layer 122 or 162).

For claims 5, 15, 25, and 35, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. In addition, Periasamy et al. teach comprising interfacing said single multi-protocol layer above a MAC layer (see Fig. 1. LLC 180 or 190 is above MAC layer 182 or 192), said MAC layer interfaced with said physical layer that is located below said MAC layer (see Fig. 1).

For claims 6, 16, 26, and 36, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1, 2, 3, and 4). In addition, Periasamy et al. teach wherein said single multi-protocol layer is a super channel sublayer (see LLC 180 or 190. What the name is just a matter of design choice), said super channel sublayer being said sublayer of said data link layer (see Fig. 1).

Claims 7-10, 17-20, and 27-30, and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Periasamy et al. (U.S. Patent 6,023,733), in view of Beshai et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0131363 A1), and Matthews (U.S. Patent 5,521,910).

Application/Control Number: 10/658.161

Art Unit: 2416

For claims 7, 17, 27, and 37, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. However, they fail to specifically teach comprising monitoring at least a portion of said aggregated messages in said single multi-protocol layer by at least one of a network management process, a bandwidth management process, a load balancing process, a session control and a QoS management process.

Matthews teaches comprising monitoring (check, col. 8, line 8 and 6-10) at least a portion of said aggregated messages in said single multi-protocol layer by at least one of a network management process (configuration management, see col. 3, line 24), a bandwidth management process (allocating bandwidth, see col. 1, line 15), a load balancing process (discover neighboring nodes, col. 4, lines 26-30), a session control process (provide data transfer and control, see col. 26, lines 18-19) and a QoS management process (QoS, see col. 3, line 25). (Also see col. 4, lines 39-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al., and Matthews to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications, and for better quality control.

For claims 8, 18, 28, and 38, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. However, they fail to specifically teach comprising interfacing at least one of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process with said super channel.

Application/Control Number:

10/658,161 Art Unit: 2416

Matthews teaches comprising interfacing (operate, col. 3, line 23) at least one of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process with said super channel (col. 3, lines 16-25, and summary, col. 2, lines 65-col. 6, line 28. All these are through the link layer, therefore through the LLC 180 or 190 layer).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al. and Matthews to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications, and for better quality control.

For claims 9, 19, 29, and 39, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. However, they fail to specifically teach comprising extracting channel specific data from said single multi-protocol layer by at least one of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process.

Matthews teaches comprising extracting (extracting the key fields, see col. 14, line 66) channel specific data from said single multi-protocol layer by at least one of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process (summary, col. 2, lines 65-col. 6, line 28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al. and

Application/Control Number:

10/658,161 Art Unit: 2416

Matthews to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications, and for better quality control.

For claims 10, 20, 30, and 40, Periasamy et al. and Beshai et al. teach everything claimed as applied above. However, they fail to specifically teach comprising sharing channel information acquired by each of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process among one or more of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process.

Matthews teaches comprising sharing (shared resources, see col. 4, line 48) channel information acquired by each of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process among one or more of said network management process, bandwidth management process, load balancing process, session control process and QoS management process (summary, col. 2, lines 65-col. 6, line 28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Periasamy et al. with Beshai et al. and Matthews to obtain the invention as specified, for more efficient network sizing applications, and for better quality control.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Art Unit: 2416

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WANDA Z. RUSSELL whose telephone number is (571)270-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:00 FST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin C. Harper/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416

WZR/Wanda Z Russell/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416